This outline was created for the July 2006 Oregon bar exam. The law changes over time, so use with caution. If you would like an editable version of this outline, go to <a href="https://www.barexammind.com/outlines">www.barexammind.com/outlines</a>.

## Criminal Procedure Outline

- I. Exclusionary rule
  - a. Where someone has been a victim of illegal search or coerced statement, the remedy is to exclude that from a criminal proceeding
  - b. in general -- scope of rule
  - c. limitations to exclusionary rule:
    - i. inapplicable to grand juries,
      - 1. grand jury witness may be compelled to testify based on illegal evidence
    - ii. inapplicable to civil proceedings,
    - iii. in order to qualify for exclusion, search must violate either federal consitution or statute
    - iv. internal agency rules, and parole revocation proceedings
    - v. Defense to exclusionary rule: good faith reliance on
      - 1. judicial opinion later changed by another judicial opinion
      - 2. statute or ordinance later declared unconstitutional
      - 3. a defective search warrant
        - a. Exception to reliance on defective search warrant:
          - Cops don't get benefit of defense if affidavit so lacks probably cause that no reasonable cop would rely on it
          - ii. Warrant is invalid on its face
          - iii. Affiant (ie, cop) lied to or misled the magistrate
          - iv. Magistrate "wholly abandoned his judicial role"
    - vi. use of any and all illegally seized evidence for impeachment purposes
      - 1. only D's trial testimony may be impeached with this excluded evidence
    - vii. Miranda violations
  - d. Fruit of the Poisonous Tree
    - i. Exclude all evidence derived from illegally obtained evidence
    - ii. Does NOT apply when original police illegality was a *Miranda* violation
    - iii. Breaking the chain from illegal evidence to other evidence
      - 1. independent source
      - 2. inevitable discovery
      - 3. intervening acts of D's freewill
- II. Fourth Amendment
  - a. Warrant requirements:
    - i. Not required for arrest in public place
    - ii. Required for non-emergency arrest in D's own home

- b. arrests and other detentions
  - i. Station house Detention
    - 1. cops need PC to arrest you to bring you to station house for
      - a. interrogation; or
      - b. fingerprinting
- c. evidentiary search and seizure [HUGE]
  - i. see p. 5 flow chart on Conviser
  - ii. Requirements for having a 4<sup>th</sup> Amend claim:
    - 1. governmental conduct
    - 2. reasonable expectation of privacy
    - 3. if 1 and 2 met, then did police have a search warrant?
      - a. Test validity of warrant
      - b. If invalid, try to save evidence by good faith defense
      - c. If no good faith, fit it into one of the exceptions?
- d. Breaking down the flowchart:
  - i. Governmental conduct: who?
    - 1. publicly paid police
    - 2. private individual acting at the direction of public police
    - 3. private paid police ONLY IF deputized with power to arrest
  - ii. D has a reasonable expectation of privacy
    - 1. must have **standing** to object;
      - a. automatic categories of standing:
        - i. own the premises searched
        - ii. live on premises searched
        - iii. overnight guests
      - b. sometimes have standing:
        - i. legitimately present when search takes place (eg, passengers in cars)<sup>1</sup>
        - ii. own the property seized
    - 2. no REP of something held out to the public:
      - a. sound of voice
      - b. style of handwriting
      - c. paint on outside of car
      - d. account records held by bank
      - e. location of one's vehicle on public roads OR in your driveway
      - f. anything seen by looking across open field or flying over in public airspace
      - g. odors in luggage (sniff test)
      - h. garbage on curb for collection
  - iii. Did police have a search warrant?
    - 1. usually told you in the question
  - iv. Test validity of the warrant:
    - 1. must **have PC** for warrant:

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Drug dealer's brief presence for a business purpose, such as cutting up drugs, has no standing to object to search of premises

- a. can rely on hearsay for PC
- b. use of informers:
  - i. **RULE**: valid warrant based in part on informer's tip even though informer is anonymous so long as TOC in affidavit can permit magistrate make a "common sense evaluation of PC"
- 2. warrant must be **precise on its face** 
  - a. must state with particularity:
    - i. place to be searched
    - ii. things to be seized
- 3. issued by a neutral and detached judicial officer
  - a. ie, neutral from law enforcement:
    - i. court clerks
  - b. if not neutral, can't save evidence using good faith defense ('wholly abandoned judicial role")
- v. if warrant is bad, use good faith defense to try and save evidence
- vi. if good faith defense fails or cops never had a warrant at all, then try to fit search into the 6 exceptions to warrant req:
  - 1. search incident to lawful arrest
    - a. arrest must be lawful
    - b. search must be contemporaneous in time and place with arrest
    - c. can only search person and "grab area" (wingspan)
      - i. wingspan includes entire interior compartment of the car, but NOT the trunk
  - 2. automobile exception
    - a. need PC (can arise after stop of car but *before* anything ot anybody is searched)
    - b. if PC, can search entire car (inc. trunk) and any container that *could reasonably contain* item for which they have PC to search
  - 3. plain view
    - a. cop is *legitimately present* at place of viewing
  - 4. consent
    - a. voluntary and intelligent
      - i. cops saying they have a warrant *negates* consent
      - ii. cops don't need to warn you that you have a right NOT to consent
    - b. authority to consent
      - i. **RULE:** where two or more people have an equal right to use a piece of property, either can consent
  - 5. stop and frisk
    - a. articulable and reasonable suspicion (RS is less than PC)
    - b. frisk only if reasonable belief that person stopped has weapon
      - i. weapons are always admissible if stop based on RS

- ii. non-weapons admitted if could seem like a weapon from a plain feel
- 6. hot pursuit, evanescent evidence
  - a. hot pursuit of fleeing felon
    - i. cops can enter <u>anyone's home</u> without a warrant and seize any illegal material found
- e. wiretapping and eavesdropping
  - i. all requires a warrant, EXCEPT
    - 1. everyone assumes the risk that person to whom one is speaking with either consent to govt monitoring or will be wired ("unreliable ear")

### III. Miranda

- a. Constitutional prerequisites
  - i. Remain silent
  - ii. Say can be used against you
  - iii. Right o attorney (appointed if poor)
  - iv. Can terminate interview any time
- b. Miranda reqs
  - i. Must be **custody** 
    - 1. ie, not free to leave (jail, own home, hospital bed)
    - 2. probation interviews and routine traffic stops are NOT custodial
  - ii. And interrogation
    - 1. ie, more than mere questions, but is any conduct that police knew or should have known that they would have gotten a damaging statement
  - iii. Once we have custody and interrogation, then cops must give warnings and take a *Miranda* waiver:
    - 1. waiver must be knowing, intelligent, and voluntary
    - 2. NB: can be no waiver from silence or shoulder-shrugging
- c. Miranda warning NOT required to admit a spontaneous statement ("blurt")
- d. Fifth Amend Right to Counsel
  - i. If D refuses questioning and requests counsel, **reinitiation** of interrogation by police without attorney present violates the 5<sup>th</sup> Amend right to counsel
  - ii. In effect, D says: "I need help of attorney to deal with custodial interrogation."
  - iii. Therefore, 5<sup>th</sup> Amend right to attorney is NOT offense-specific
- IV. Sixth Amend Right to Counsel
  - a. Ie, use of counsel at any time other than with Miranda rights
  - b. 6<sup>th</sup> Amend right is offense-specific
- V. Pre-Trial Identification
  - a. Attacking pre-trial id
    - i. Denial of right to counsel
      - 1. **post-charge** line ups and show ups give rise to right to counsel
      - 2. no right to counsel at showing of photographs
    - ii. Denial of due process

- 1. unnecessary, suggestive, and substantially likely to produce a misidentification (eg, suspect white, only one white person in lineup)
- b. Remedy
  - i. Exclude <u>in-court</u> identification, but, state can defeat remedy if
    - 1. it shows independent source to support in-court identification
      - a. esp: ample opportunity to observe D during commission of the crime

## VI. Pre-Trial Issues

- a. Bail
  - i. Bail issues are immediately appealable
  - ii. Preventive detention is consitutional
- b. Grand Juries
  - i. States do NOT have to use grand juries
    - 1. western states use informations
  - ii. exclusionary rule does not apply
    - 1. ie, GJ witness can be compelled to testify on illegally seized evidence
  - iii. proceedings of GJ's are secret
    - 1. no right to appear or send witnesses

### VII. Trials

- a. Right to an unbiased judge
  - i. Bias means
    - 1. financial interest in outcome
    - 2. actual malice against D
- b. Right to Jury Trial
  - i. When does it attach?
    - 1. where max sentence for crime exceeds 6 months
  - ii. Contempt
    - 1. if sum of sentences from criminal contempt exceeds 6 months, then alleged contemnor can go back and have a jury trial
  - iii. Number/Unanimity of Jurors
    - 1. min number is 6 (must be unanimous)
    - 2. no federal right to unanimous 12-person jury
  - iv. Cross-sectional Requirement
    - 1. D has right to have county jury pool to reflect a fair cross-section of county, BUT
    - 2. D's own jury does not have to reflect the cross-section
  - v. Peremptory challenges
    - 1. racial and gender based discrimination
    - 2. RULE: unconsitutional for prosecutor or defense to use peremptory challenges to exclude jurors on account of race or gender
- c. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel [essay topic]
  - i. Must show:
    - 1. deficient performance by counsel

- 2. but for such deficiency, the result would have been different
- ii. Unless have argument that D was innocent,
  - 1. D should be denied relief
- d. Guilty pleas and plea bargaining
  - i. Guilty pleas are waivers of right to jury trial
  - ii. General **RULE:** Supreme court will not disturb guilty pleas after sentence. But, EXCEPTIONS:
    - 1. plea was **involuntary**;
    - 2. court lacked jurisdiction;
    - 3. **ineffective assistance** of counsel; or
    - 4. failure of prosecutor to keep plea bargain<sup>2</sup>
  - iii. Supreme court has adopted contract theory of plea bargaining
    - 1. terms should be on the record, and
    - 2. both sides should be held to terms of the agreement
  - iv. For a judge to accept the guilty plea, he **must talk to D** *on the record* about:
    - 1. the nature of the charge (can be explained to D by attorney)
    - 2. maximum authorized sentence and any mandatory minimum
    - 3. tell D he has a right to plead not guilty and demand a jury trial
  - v. Remedy for mistake in guilty plea:
    - 1. D can withdraw plea and plead again

# VIII. Sentencing

a. General **RULE**: D cannot be given a harsher sentence on retrial after a successful appeal

- IX. Death Penalty [recite these sentences on an essay test]
  - a. Any death penalty statute that does not give D a chance to present mitigating facts and circumstances is unconstitutional.
  - b. There can be no automatic category for imposition of the death penalty.
  - c. The state may not, by statute, limit the mitigating factors; all *relevant* mitigating evidence must be admissible or the statute is unconstitutional.
  - d. Only a jury, and not a judge, may determine the aggravating factors justifying imposition of the death penalty.
- X. Double Jeopardy

a. When does jeopardy attach?

- i. At a jury trial when the jury is formed
- ii. At a judge trial when the first witness is sworn
- iii. Jeopardy does not attach when proceedings are civil.
- b. EXCEPTIONS permitting retrial:
  - i. Jury is unable to agree on a verdict (must be unanimous either way in most states)
  - ii. Mistrial for manifest necessity (eg, D gets appendicitis)
  - iii. Retrial after successful appeal
  - iv. Breach of plea bargain by D [← likely on bar]
    - 1. original plea and sentence can be vacated
- c. What constitutes the same offense?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prof thinks this is the bar exam question; ie, breach of contract issue

- i. **RULE**: Two crimes do not constitute the same offense when each crime requires proof of an additional element the other does not.
- ii. Lesser included offenses:
  - 1. when put in jeopardy for either offense, retrial of other offense is barred, EXCEPT
  - if in jeopardy for battery and victim later dies, CAN be tried for murder
- d. Separate sovereigns exception
  - i. Double jeopardy does not attach; can be tried by both sovereigns
  - ii. NB: state and locality inside state are same sovereign
- XI. 5<sup>th</sup> Amend Privilege against Compelled Self-Incrimination
  - a. when asserted?
    - i. asserted by anyone while under oath if response might tend to incriminate them
      - 1. NB: failure to assert first time question is asked in non-criminal, then it's a waiver for all subsequent criminal proceedings.
    - ii. asserted in any case (inc, civil, administrative, etc)
  - b. scope of the protection
    - i. does not protect use of body parts for evidence
    - ii. only protects against compelled testimony;
      - 1. lie detector test
      - 2. custodial police interrogation
    - iii. unconstitutional for prosecutor to make a *negative comment* on D's failure to testify or remaining silent upon hearing *Miranda* warning
  - c. Elimination of the 5<sup>th</sup> Amend privilege
    - i. Granting of immunity
      - 1. use and derivative use: can't use testimony against testifier (but can still prosecute using other evidence)
    - ii. No possibility of incrimination
      - 1. eg, SOL has run on crime
    - iii. Waiver

If you liked the outline, why not check out my e-book showing you how to reduce bar exam anxiety and enhance performance? www.barexammind.com/book